CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT:
Parts I and II

for
STATE FORMULA GRANT PROGRAMS
under the
ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION ACT
As amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

For reporting on
School Year 2007-08

MARYLAND

PART I DUE FRIDAY, DECEMBER 19, 2008
PART II DUE FRIDAY, FEBRUARY 27, 2009

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION
WASHINGTON, DC 20202

INTRODUCTION

Sections 9302 and 9303 of the *Elementary and Secondary Education Act* (*ESEA*), as amended by the *No Child Left Behind Act* of 2001 (*NCLB*) provide to States the option of applying for and reporting on multiple *ESEA* programs through a single consolidated application and report. Although a central, practical purpose of the Consolidated State Application and Report is to reduce "red tape" and burden on States, the Consolidated State Application and Report are also intended to have the important purpose of encouraging the integration of State, local, and *ESEA* programs in comprehensive planning and service delivery and enhancing the likelihood that the State will coordinate planning and service delivery across multiple State and local programs. The combined goal of all educational agencies–State, local, and Federal–is a more coherent, well-integrated educational plan that will result in improved teaching and learning. The Consolidated State Application and Report includes the following *ESEA* programs:

- o Title I, Part A – *Improving Basic Programs Operated by Local Educational Agencies*
- o Title I, Part B, Subpart 3 – *William F. Goodling Even Start Family Literacy Programs*
- o Title I, Part C – *Education of Migratory Children* (Includes the Migrant Child Count)
- o Title I, Part D – *Prevention and Intervention Programs for Children and Youth Who Are Neglected, Delinquent, or At-Risk*
- o Title II, Part A – *Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Teacher and Principal Training and Recruiting Fund)*
- o Title III, Part A – *English Language Acquisition, Language Enhancement, and Academic Achievement Act*
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 1 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities State Grants*
- o Title IV, Part A, Subpart 2 – *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities National Activities (Community Service Grant Program)*
- o Title V, Part A – *Innovative Programs*
- o Title VI, Section 6111 – *Grants for State Assessments and Related Activities*
- o Title VI, Part B – *Rural Education Achievement Program*
- o Title X, Part C – *Education for Homeless Children and Youths*

The *NCLB* Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) for school year (SY) 2007-08 consists of two Parts, Part I and Part II.

PART I

Part I of the CSPR requests information related to the five *ESEA* Goals, established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application, and information required for the Annual State Report to the Secretary, as described in Section 1111(h)(4) of the *ESEA*. The five *ESEA* Goals established in the June 2002 Consolidated State Application are:

- **Performance Goal 1:** By SY 2013-14, all students will reach high standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 2:** All limited English proficient students will become proficient in English and reach high academic standards, at a minimum attaining proficiency or better in reading/language arts and mathematics.
- **Performance Goal 3:** By SY 2005-06, all students will be taught by highly qualified teachers.
- **Performance Goal 4:** All students will be educated in learning environments that are safe, drug free, and conducive to learning.
- **Performance Goal 5:** All students will graduate from high school.

Beginning with the CSPR SY 2005-06 collection, the Education of Homeless Children and Youths was added. The Migrant Child count was added for the SY 2006-07 collection.

PART II

Part II of the CSPR consists of information related to State activities and outcomes of specific *ESEA* programs. While the information requested varies from program to program, the specific information requested for this report meets the following criteria:

1. The information is needed for Department program performance plans or for other program needs.
2. The information is not available from another source, including program evaluations pending full implementation of required EDFacts submission.
3. The information will provide valid evidence of program outcomes or results.

GENERAL INSTRUCTIONS AND TIMELINES

All States that received funding on the basis of the Consolidated State Application for the SY 2007-08 must respond to this Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR). Part I of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, December 19, 2008**. Part II of the Report is due to the Department by **Friday, February 27, 2009**. Both Part I and Part II should reflect data from the SY 2007-08, unless otherwise noted.

The format states will use to submit the Consolidated State Performance Report has changed to an online submission starting with SY 2004-05. This online submission system is being developed through the Education Data Exchange Network (EDEN) and will make the submission process less burdensome.   Please see the following section on transmittal instructions for more information on how to submit this year's Consolidated State Performance Report.

TRANSMITTAL INSTRUCTIONS

The Consolidated State Performance Report (CSPR) data will be collected online from the SEAs, using the EDEN web site. The EDEN web site will be modified to include a separate area (sub-domain) for CSPR data entry. This area will utilize EDEN formatting to the extent possible and the data will be entered in the order of the current CSPR forms. The data entry screens will include or provide access to all instructions and notes on the current CSPR forms; additionally, an effort will be made to design the screens to balance efficient data collection and reduction of visual clutter.

Initially, a state user will log onto EDEN and be provided with an option that takes him or her to the "SY 2007-08 CSPR". The main CSPR screen will allow the user to select the section of the CSPR that he or she needs to either view or enter data. After selecting a section of the CSPR, the user will be presented with a screen or set of screens where the user can input the data for that section of the CSPR. A user can only select one section of the CSPR at a time. After a state has included all available data in the designated sections of a particular CSPR Part, a lead state user will certify that Part and transmit it to the Department. Once a Part has been transmitted, ED will have access to the data. States may still make changes or additions to the transmitted data, by creating an updated version of the CSPR. Detailed instructions for transmitting the SY 2007-08 CSPR will be found on the main CSPR page of the EDEN web site (https://EDEN.ED.GOV/EDENPortal/).

According to the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1965, no persons are required to respond to a collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB control number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 1810-0614. The time required to complete this information collection is estimated to average 111 hours per response, including the time to review instructions, search existing data resources, gather the data needed, and complete and review the information collection. If you have any comments concerning the accuracy of the time estimates(s) contact School Support and Technology Programs, 400 Maryland Avenue, SW, Washington DC 20202-6140. Questions about the new electronic CSPR submission process, should be directed to the EDEN Partner Support Center at 1-877-HLP-EDEN (1-877-457-3336).

	OMB Number: 1810-0614
	Expiration Date: 10/31/2010

Consolidated State Performance Report
For
State Formula Grant Programs
under the
Elementary And Secondary Education Act
as amended by the
No Child Left Behind Act of 2001

Check the one that indicates the report you are submitting:
   ___Part I, 2007-08   __X__Part II, 2007-08

Name of State Educational Agency (SEA) Submitting This Report:
Maryland State Department of Education

Address:
200 West Baltimore Street
Baltimore, Maryland 21201

Person to contact about this report:

Name: Dr. Ronald A. Peiffer, Deputy State Superintendent for Academic Policy

Telephone: 410-767-0473

Fax: 410-333-2275

e-mail: rpeiffer@msde.state.md.us

Name of Authorizing State Official: (Print or Type):
Dr. Nancy S. Grasmick

_____   ___Wednesday, May 20, 2009, 2:01:43 PM___
  Signature   Date

CONSOLIDATED STATE PERFORMANCE REPORT
PART II

For reporting on
School Year 2007-08

PART II DUE FEBRUARY 27, 2009
5PM EST

2.1 IMPROVING BASIC PROGRAMS OPERATED BY LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE I, PART A)

This section collects data on Title I, Part A programs.

2.1.1 Student Achievement in Schools with Title I, Part A Programs

The following sections collect data on student academic achievement on the State's *NCLB* assessments in schools that receive Title I, Part A funds and operate either Schoolwide programs or Targeted Assistance programs.

2.1.1.1 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

In the format of the table below, provide the number of students in SWP schools who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b)(3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	16,091	11,790	73.3
4	15,838	13,080	82.6
5	15,914	11,157	70.1
6	6,656	3,466	52.1
7	4,919	1,668	33.9
8	5,253	1,405	26.7
High School	29	12	41.4
Total	64,700	42,578	65.8
Comments:			

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.1.1.2 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Schoolwide Schools (SWP)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment in SWP.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	16,079	11,784	73.3
4	15,821	12,870	81.3
5	15,918	12,402	77.9
6	6,675	4,322	64.7
7	4,928	2,935	59.6
8	5,272	2,411	45.7
High School	30	12	40.0
Total	64,723	46,736	72.2
Comments:			

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.1.1.3 Student Achievement in Mathematics in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of all students in TAS who completed the assessment and for whom a proficiency level was assigned, in grades 3 through 8 and high school, on the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessments under Section 1111(b) (3) of *ESEA*. Also, provide the number of those students who scored at or above proficient. The percentage of students who scored at or above proficient is calculated automatically.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	3,341	2,805	84.0
4	3,422	3,032	88.6
5	3,394	2,794	82.3
6	2,156	1,256	58.3
7	2,322	1,055	45.4
8	2,039	751	36.8
High School			
Total	16,674	11,693	70.1
Comments:			

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.1.1.4 Student Achievement in Reading/Language Arts in Targeted Assistance Schools (TAS)

This section is similar to 2.1.1.3. The only difference is that this section collects data on performance on the State's *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment by all students in TAS.

Grade	# Students Who Completed the Assessment and for Whom a Proficiency Level Was Assigned	# Students Scoring At or Above Proficient	Percentage At or Above Proficient
3	3,339	2,791	83.6
4	3,414	3,008	88.1
5	3,393	3,002	88.5
6	2,155	1,540	71.5
7	2,323	1,627	70.0
8	2,041	1,093	53.6
High School			
Total	16,665	13,061	78.4
Comments:			

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.1.2 Title I, Part A Student Participation

The following sections collect data on students participating in Title I, Part A by various student characteristics.

2.1.2.1 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Special Services or Programs

In the table below, provide the number of public school students served by either Public Title I SWP or TAS programs at any time during the regular school year for each category listed. Count each student only once in each category even if the student participated during more than one term or in more than one school or district in the State. Count each student in as many of the categories that are applicable to the student. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. Do not include the following individuals: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

	# Students Served
Children with disabilities (*IDEA*)	19,790
Limited English proficient students	15,937
Students who are homeless	4,717
Migratory students	28
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through ED*Facts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037 that is data group 548, category sets B, C, D and E.

2.1.2.2 Student Participation in Public Title I, Part A by Racial/Ethnic Group

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of public school students served by either public Title I SWP or TAS at any time during the regular school year. Each student should be reported in only one racial/ethnic category. Include pre-kindergarten through grade 12. The total number of students served will be calculated automatically.

Do not include: (1) adult participants of adult literacy programs funded by Title I, (2) private school students participating in Title I programs operated by local educational agencies, or (3) students served in Part A local neglected programs.

Race/Ethnicity	# Students Served
American Indian or Alaska Native	639
Asian or Pacific Islander	3,454
Black, non-Hispanic	95,709
Hispanic	21,243
White, non-Hispanic	30,634
Total	151,679
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through ED*Facts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X037 that is data group 548, category set A.

2.1.2.3 Student Participation in Title I, Part A by Grade Level

In the table below, provide the underlined number of students participating in Title I, Part A programs by grade level and by type of program: Title I public targeted assistance programs (Public TAS), Title I schoolwide programs (Public SWP), private school students participating in Title I programs (private), and Part A local neglected programs (local neglected). The totals column by type of program will be automatically calculated.

Age/Grade	Public TAS	Public SWP	Private	Local Neglected	Total
Age 0-2	0	324	0	0	324
Age 3-5 (not Kindergarten)	144	11,650	14	0	11,808
K	442	18,835	127	3	19,407
1	782	19,004	183	5	19,974
2	956	19,513	184	8	20,661
3	804	18,445	173	15	19,437
4	713	18,295	173	25	19,206
5	552	18,164	200	44	18,960
6	445	8,529	200	101	9,275
7	733	6,395	188	133	7,449
8	531	6,279	147	160	7,117
9	0	89	0	189	278
10	0	21	0	117	138
11	0	18	0	86	104
12	0	21	0	30	51
Ungraded	0	3	0	36	39
TOTALS	6,102	145,585	1,589	952	154,228
Comments:					

Source – The table above is produced through ED*Facts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X134, that is data group 670, category set A.

2.1.2.4 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional and Support Services

The following sections request data about the participation of students in TAS.

2.1.2.4.1 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Instructional Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed instructional services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one instructional service. However, students should be reported only once for each instructional service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Mathematics	3,839
Reading/language arts	4,031
Science	0
Social studies	0
Vocational/career	0
Other instructional services	0
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through ED*Facts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036 that is data group 549, category set A.

2.1.2.4.2 Student Participation in Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs by Support Services

In the table below, provide the number of students receiving each of the listed support services through a TAS program funded by Title I, Part A. Students may be reported as receiving more than one support service. However, students should be reported only once for each support service regardless of the frequency with which they received the service.

	# Students Served
Health, dental, and eye care	9
Supporting guidance/advocacy	57
Other support services	0
Comments:	

Source – The table above is produced through ED*Facts*. The SEA submits the data in file N/X036, that is data group 549, category set B.

2.1.3 Staff Information for Title I, Part A Targeted Assistance Programs (TAS)

In the table below, provide the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) staff funded by a Title I, Part A TAS in each of the staff categories. For staff who work with both TAS and SWP, report only the FTE attributable to their TAS responsibilities.

For paraprofessionals only, provide the percentage of paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*.

See the FAQs following the table for additional information.

Staff Category	Staff FTE	Percentage Qualified
Teachers	117.20	
Paraprofessionals[1]	50.10	100.0
Other paraprofessionals (translators, parental involvement, computer assistance)[2]	2.30	
Clerical support staff	1.00	
Administrators (non-clerical)	2.00	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on staff information

a. *What is a "paraprofessional?"* An employee of an LEA who provides instructional support in a program supported with Title I, Part A funds. Instructional support includes the following activities:
   (1) Providing one-on-one tutoring for eligible students, if the tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher;
   (2) Providing assistance with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials;
   (3) Providing assistance in a computer laboratory;
   (4) Conducting parental involvement activities;
   (5) Providing support in a library or media center;
   (6) Acting as a translator; or
   (7) Providing instructional services to students.

b. *What is an "other paraprofessional?"* Paraprofessionals who do not provide instructional support, for example, paraprofessionals who are translators or who work with parental involvement or computer assistance.

c. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional?* A paraprofessional who has (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and been able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Section 1119(c) and (d).) For more information on qualified paraprofessionals, please refer to the Title I paraprofessionals Guidance, available at: http://www.ed.gov/policy/elsec/guid/paraguidance.doc.

[1] Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

[2] Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(e).

2.1.3.1 Paraprofessional Information for Title I, Part A Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of FTE paraprofessionals who served in SWP and the percentage of these paraprofessionals who were qualified in accordance with Section 1119 (c) and (d) of *ESEA*. Use the additional guidance found below the previous table.

	Paraprofessionals FTE	**Percentage Qualified**
Paraprofessionals[3]	1,574.80	100.0
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

3 Consistent with *ESEA*, Title I, Section 1119(g)(2).

2.2   WILLIAM F. GOODLING EVEN START FAMILY LITERACY PROGRAMS (TITLE I, PART B, SUBPART 3)

2.2.1 Subgrants and Even Start Program Participants

For the reporting program year July 1, 2007 to June 30, 2008, please provide the following information:

2.2.1.1 Federally Funded Even Start Subgrants in the State

Number of federally funded Even Start subgrants	7
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.1.2 Even Start Families Participating During the Year

In the table below, provide the number of participants for each of the groups listed below. The following terms apply:

1. "Participating" means enrolled and participating in all four core instructional components.
2. "Adults" includes teen parents.
3. For continuing children, calculate the age of the child on July 1, 2007. For newly enrolled children, calculate their age at the time of enrollment in Even Start.
4. Do not use rounding rules.

The total number of participating children will be calculated automatically.

	# Participants
1. Families participating	224
2. Adults participating	236
3. Adults participating who are limited English proficient (Adult English Learners)	156
4. Participating children	367
a. Birth through 2 years	116
b. Age 3 through 5	156
c. Age 6 through 8	53
c. Above age 8	42
Comments: Age 3 through 5 includes Kindergarten chldren. Age 6 through 8 includes grades 1-3. Above age 8 includes children beyond grade 3.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.1.3 Characteristics of Newly Enrolled Families at the Time of Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of newly enrolled families for each of the groups listed below. The term "newly enrolled family" means a family who enrolls for the first time in the Even Start project or who had previously been in Even Start and re-enrolls during the year.

	#
1. Number of newly enrolled families	148
2. Number of newly enrolled adult participants	151
3. Number of newly enrolled families at or below the federal poverty level at the time of enrollment	111
4. Number of newly enrolled adult participants without a high school diploma or GED at the time of enrollment	151
5. Number of newly enrolled adult participants who have not gone beyond the 9th grade at the time of enrollment	81
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.1.4 Retention of Families

In the table below, provide the number of families who are newly enrolled, those who exited the program during the year, and those continuing in the program. For families who have exited, count the time between the family's start date and exit date. For families continuing to participate, count the time between the family's start date and the end of the reporting year (June 30, 2008). For families who had previously exited Even Start and then enrolled during the reporting year, begin counting from the time of the family's original enrollment date. **Report each family only once in lines 1-4.** Note enrolled families means a family who is participating in all four core instructional components. The total number of families participating will be automatically calculated.

Time in Program	#
1. Number of families enrolled 90 days or less	25
2. Number of families enrolled more than 90 but less than 180 days or less	36
3. Number of families enrolled more than 180 days but 365 days or less	95
4. Number of families enrolled more than 365 days	68
5. Total families enrolled	224
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2 Federal Even Start Performance Indicators

This section collects data about the federal Even Start Performance Indicators.

In the space below, provide any explanatory information necessary for understanding the data provided in this section on performance indicators.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

The assessment tool required by the Maryland State Department of Education is CASAS. The use of the BEST is optional. Therefore, the definition of significant gain and criterion value for Maryland's Adult Indicator #1: "Adult participants in Even Start who have attended at least 60 hours of adult education instruction, and will demonstrate achievement in the areas of reading, writing, English language acquisition, problem solving or numeracy by improving one literacy level, as measure by the CASAS or BEST.

Furthermore, additional adult education and achievement data is reported to the State on: the number of adults who attended adult education instruction less than 60 hours (24); the number of adults who improved one or more levels(4); the number who exited before attending 60 hours of instruction and did not improve (5); the number of adults who attended adult education instruction less than 60 hours and were not post tested (2); and the number of adults who exited before attending 60 hours of adult education instruction and were not post tested (13).

In addition, Maryland projects collect and report to the State, significant learning gains for reading and math separately. Therefore, there were (47) adults' pre and post tested in Math with (21) meeting goals.

Also, Maryland defines literacy levels according to the National Reporting System and National Adult Literacy Survey (NALS) and corresponds to specific scaled scores on the CASAS. Maryland's Adult Education established performance targets for each literacy level and projects were required to report this information to the State.

2.2.2.2. LEP Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading
The assessment instrument required by the Maryland State Department of Education is either CASAS Listening, BEST Oral or BEST Literacy. The definition of significant gain and criterion value for Maryland's Adult Indicator #2: "English language (ELL) learners in Even Start who have attended at least 60 hours of English language instruction, and will demonstrate achievement in listening, speaking, reading and writing by improving one literacy level, as measured by the CASAS or BEST. "

In Maryland, "English Language Instruction" is defined as classes or secondary education, GED preparation, functional skills and advanced instruction in English for Speakers of Other Languages. Scores for the BEST and Student Performance Levels (SPLs) are equivalent to the CASAS literacy levels as defined in the National Reporting System and National Adult Literacy Survey. The SPLs are not tests but are descriptions of general proficiency. The SPL document is a guidance document for Maryland's instructors to use which provides a standard description of adult refugee students' ability at a range of levels and the student's general language ability upon entry into an instructional level in the Core Curriculum.

Furthermore, additional Adult ELL and achievement data is reported to the State on: the number of adults who attended English language instruction less than 60 hours (29); the number of adults who improved one or more levels (11); the number who exited before attending 60 hours of instruction and did not improve (1); the number of adults who attended adult education instruction less than 60 hours and were not post tested (5); and the number of adults who exited before attending 60 hours of adult education instruction and were not post tested (12).

2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

The definition for significant gain and criterion value for Maryland's Adult Indicator #3: "Within six months of completing instruction at the at the Adult Secondary or Advanced Secondary Level, 78% of adult participants in Even Start with a goal of earning a high school diploma will obtain a high school diploma or its equivalent, as evidenced by documentation." In Maryland, "high school diploma or its equivalent" is defined as a Maryland high school diploma obtained through the GED, Maryland Adult External Diploma program, or Evening High School program.

2.2.2.4 Children Entering Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development and
2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

The definition of significant gain and criterion value for Maryland's Early Childhood Indicator #6: "Eighty percent (80%) of children, ages 3 through grade 3, who attend Even Start for six consecutive months or more will improve in reading readiness or the ability to read on grade level in accordance with state, local school system or program standards for reading readiness or

grade level performance."

In Maryland, additional measurement tools are used to assess progress of this indicator. Local projects use assessment tools that have been approved by and/or are used by the local school system and the Maryland State Department of Education to assess language and literacy development of all children. In addition to the PALs and PPVT III, other measurement tools used during this reporting period are: Ounce Observation Assessment, DIBELS, MSA, PLS4, ASQ, Brigance Preschool Screen, MMSR's Work sampling, Head Start Letter People, FACES Letter Naming, YMCA Literacy Assessment, MCPS Assessment Program, Creative Curriculum and High Scope/COR.

Additional data include the number of children, 3 & 4 year olds, who attended Even Start six consecutive months or more, who improved in language and literacy development (12). The number of 3 & 4 year olds, who attended Even Start less than six months and did improve in their language and literacy development (12).

Furthermore, Maryland's projects served (116) infants and toddlers. The definition of significant gain and criterion value for this age group is Maryland's Early Childhood Indicator #5: "Eighty percent (80%) of children, birth through age 2, who attended Even Start for six consecutive months or more, will show progress in their emerging language and literacy development" (83). The number of children who attended less than six months and did show progress in their emerging language and literacy development (16).

2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In Maryland, this federal indicator is assessed as two separate indicators. The definition of significant gain and criterion value for Maryland's Parent Education indicators are: Indicator #9: "Seventy-five (75%) of parents/guardians, who attend Even Start for six consecutive months or more, will create a home environment that supports their children's literacy development, as evidenced by documenting at least three new or expanded literacy related family activities during the program year."

Indicator #10: "Seventy-five percent (75%) of parents/guardians, who attend Even Start for six consecutive months or more, will support their children's literacy development by being actively involved in school and community life, as evidenced by documenting at least three school or community literacy-related activities during the program year."

Additional data: The number of families who are currently in the Even Start program, but who have not attended a minimum of six consecutive months (20). The number of families who attended Even Start this fiscal year, but exited before six months (35).

2.2.2.1 Adults Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of adults who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading. To be counted under "pre- and post-test", an individual must have completed **both** the pre- and post-tests.

The definition of "significant learning gains" for adult education is determined by your State's adult education program in conjunction with the U.S. Department of Education's Office of Vocational and Adult Education (OVAE).

These instructions/definitions apply to both 2.2.2.1 and 2.2.2.2.

Note: Do not include the Adult English Learners counted in 2.2.2.2.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
TABE	3	3	Reported by one local project
CASAS	44	24	
Other			Not applicable
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.2 Adult English Learners Showing Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Reading

In the table below, provide the number of Adult English Learners who showed significant learning gains on measures of reading.

	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
BEST	1	1	Reported by one local project
CASAS	114	88	
TABE			Not applicable
Other			Not applicable
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.3 Adults Earning a High School Diploma or GED

In the table below, provide the number of school-age and non-school age adults who earned a high school diploma or GED during the reporting year.

The following terms apply:

1. "School-age adults" is defined as any parent attending an elementary or secondary school. This also includes those adults within the State's compulsory attendance range who are being served in an alternative school setting, such as directly through the Even Start program.
2. "Non-school-age" adults are any adults who do not meet the definition of "school-age."
3. Include only the number of adult participants who had a realistic goal of earning a high school diploma or GED. Note that age limitations on taking the GED differ by State, so you should include only those adult participants for whom attainment of a GED or high school diploma is a possibility.

School-Age Adults	# with goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma	5	5	
GED			Not applicable
Other			Not applicable
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Non-School-Age Adults	# with goal	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
Diploma			Not applicable
GED	30	11	Four students received their American citizenship. Two students were expected to complete testing in July 2008, one student may complete EDP summer 2008. One student did not apply for GED test by June 30th. Additional tools used to measure were: Official practice Pre-GED tests given weekly in 8 weeks; Intensive fast track class; Counseling sessions to sign individuals up for exam, TABE, CASAS, and subject completion tests.
Other	5	5	EDP
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.4 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Are Achieving Significant Learning Gains on Measures of Language Development

In the table below, provide the number of children who are achieving significant learning gains on measures of language development.

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year who have been in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took both a pre- and post-test with at least 6 months of Even Start service in between.
3. A "significant learning gain" is considered to be a standard score increase of 4 or more points.
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

	# Age-Eligible	# Pre- and Post-Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-Ⅲ	35	26	24	0	9 eligible students not tested at one local project
PPVT-IV					Not applicable
TVIP					Not applicable
Comments:					

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.4.1 Children Age-Eligible for Kindergarten Who Demonstrate Age-Appropriate Oral Language Skills

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year who have been in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took the PPVT-III or TVIP in the spring of the reporting year.
3. # who met goal includes children who score a Standard Score of 85 or higher on the spring PPVT-Ⅲ
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children who could not take the test (based on the practice items) due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

Note: Projects may use the PPVT-III or the PPVT-IV if the PPVT-III is no longer available, but results for the two versions of the assessment should be reported separately.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Who Met Goal	# Exempted	Explanation (if applicable)
PPVT-Ⅲ	35	26	24	0	9 eligible students not tested at one local project
PPVT-IV					Not applicable
TVIP					Not applicable
Comments:					

Source – Manual input by the SEA using the online collection tool.

Note: New collection for the SY 2007-08 CSPR. Proposed under OMB 83I.

2.2.2.5 The Average Number of Letters Children Can Identify as Measured by the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask

The following terms apply:

1. "Age-Eligible" includes the total number of children who are old enough to enter kindergarten in the school year following the reporting year who have been in Even Start for at least six months.
2. "Tested" includes the number of age-eligible children who took the PALS Pre-K Upper Case Letter Naming Subtask in the spring of 2008.
3. The term "average number of letters" includes the average score for the children in your State who participated in this assessment. This should be provided as a <u>weighted</u> average (An example of how to calculate a weighted average is included in the program training materials) and rounded to one decimal.
4. "Exempted" includes the number of children exempted from testing due to a severe disability or inability to understand the directions in English.

	# Age-Eligible	# Tested	# Exempted	Average Number of Letters (Weighted Average)	Explanation (if applicable)
PALS PreK Upper Case	35	24	0	19.9	Eight eligible students not tested at one local project and one eligible student not tested at another local project.
Comments:					

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.6 School-Aged Children Reading on Grade Level

In the table below, provide the number of school-age children who read on or above grade level ("met goal"). The source of these data is usually determined by the State and, in some cases, by school district. Please indicate the source(s) of the data in the "Explanation" field.

Grade	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (include source of data)
K	33	31	k-3 grade - mClass 3D (MCPS), Developmental Milestones High Scope COR, WSS,Comprehensive Reading/Language Assessment (CR/LA), SRI, PALS, PPVTIII, Creative Curriculum, Concepts in Print, FCPS instruments per grade level, Work Sampling, Dibels
1	15	14	ASQ, FCPS instruments per grade level k-3 grade - mClass 3D (MCPS) report cards, PPVT, WSS, Comprehensive Reading/Language Assessment (CR/LA), SRI, Work Sampling, Dibels
2	20	20	k-3 grade - mClass 3D (MCPS) report cards, WSS,Comprehensive Reading/Language Assessment (CR/LA), SRI, Work Sampling, Dibels
3	9	8	k-3 grade - mClass 3D (MCPS) report cards, WSS, Comprehensive Reading/Language Assessment (CR/LA), SRI, MSA-Reading, Math Quarterly, Systemic Assessment Phonological and Reading, SAT 10
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.2.2.7 Parents Who Show Improvement on Measures of Parental Support for Children's Learning in the Home, School Environment, and Through Interactive Learning Activities

In the table below, provide the number of parents who show improvement ("met goal") on measures of parental support for children's learning in the home, school environment, and through interactive learning activities.

While many states are using the PEP, other assessments of parenting education are acceptable. Please describe results and the source(s) of any non-PEP data in the "Other" field, with appropriate information in the Explanation field.

	# In Cohort	# Who Met Goal	Explanation (if applicable)
PEP Scale I	27	27	
PEP Scale II	27	27	
PEP Scale III	27	27	
PEP Scale IV	27	27	
Other	142	142	Additional approved assessment tools used to measure this indicator included: Parents As Teachers, Bowdoin Parenting Method, Home Instruction Project for Preschool Youngsters, Systematic Training for Effective Parenting, Ounce Scale, The Nurturing Program, The Parent Home connection, weekly logs, home observation check list, Parent and Child time Survey, weekly Home Visitor's Activities Log, Program Attendance; Monthly Family Literacy Log; weekly log, ILA, parenting workshops, Home Visitations & reading nights; 'Get ready to read Home Environmental Checklist; Home Visitor Home Inventory Form
Comments:			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3 EDUCATION OF MIGRANT CHILDREN (TITLE I, PART C)

This section collects data on the Migrant Education Program (Title I, Part C) for the reporting period of September 1, 2007 through August 31, 2008. This section is composed of the following subsections:

- Population data of eligible migrant children;
- Academic data of eligible migrant students;
- Participation data – migrant children served during either the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year;
- School data;
- Project data;
- Personnel data.

Where the table collects data by age/grade, report children in the highest age/grade that they attained during the reporting period. For example, a child who turns 3 during the reporting period would only be reported in the "Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)" row.

FAQs at 1.10 contain definitions of out-of-school and ungraded that are used in this section.

2.3.1 Population Data

The following questions collect data on eligible migrant children.

2.3.1.1 Eligible Migrant Children

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant children by age/grade. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Eligible Migrant Children
Age birth through 2	63
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	60
K	10
1	17
2	21
3	10
4	9
5	12
6	8
7	15
8	11
9	14
10	7
11	6
12	4
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	142
Total	409

Comments: Total number of students identified was less than the previous year by 205 students. Key reasons for the decline are:
• Immigration Issues (families afraid to move and workers reluctant to talk to any agency)
• Reduction of crop acreage requiring the use of farm workers
• Drought conditions limited available work
• Limited housing for families
• Large migrant camps continue to limit families
• Cost of fuel has made travel difficult or impossible for farm workers and has impacted farm production

Source – All rows except for "age birth through 2" are populated with the data provided in Part I, Section 1.10, Question 1.10.1.

2.3.1.2 Priority for Services

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who have been classified as having "Priority for Services." The total is calculated automatically. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	2
K	6
1	11
2	10
3	6
4	3
5	6
6	4
7	6
8	5
9	7
10	3
11	3
12	4
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	76

Comments: The value for out-of-school youth is 0 because PFS refers to priority for service in which the student's education had been interrupted during the past school year (enrolled in more than one school, arriving late to homebase schools, leaving school before the end of the school year because of moving to another state) and at risk of failing state academic standards. Out-of-school youth are emancipated youth age 16-21 who are no longer enrolled in school(s).

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQ on priority for services:
Who is classified as having "priority for service?" Migratory children who are failing, or most at risk of failing to meet the State''s challenging academic content standards and student academic achievement standards, <u>and</u> whose education has been interrupted during the regular school year.

2.3.1.3 Limited English Proficient

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who are also limited English proficient (LEP). The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Limited English Proficient (LEP)
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	8
K	2
1	2
2	2
3	1
4	1
5	0
6	3
7	3
8	5
9	4
10	1
11	1
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	35
Comments: Migrant children are different from year to year. MEP service delivery requires testing to identify LEP in school students. Summer projects were better in using assessments to identify LEP students.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.1.4 Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*)

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children who are also Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*) under Part B or Part C of the *IDEA*. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children with Disabilities (*IDEA*)
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	1
4	1
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	1
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	4
Comments: Migrant children identified the previous year often leave the State and are not part of the next year count. The numbers reflect students that have an IEP either identified in Maryland or in another state. Some children are only in Maryland in the summer and are never part of the regular school program, and will not show up on a district count.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.1.5 Last Qualifying Move

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children by when the last qualifying move occurred. The months are calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	12 Months	Last Qualifying Move — Is within X months from the last day of the reporting period		
		Previous 13 – 24 Months	Previous 25 – 36 Months	Previous 37 – 48 Months
Age birth through 2	56	7	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	48	7	2	3
K	5	2	2	1
1	10	5	1	1
2	10	4	2	5
3	7	1	2	0
4	6	1	1	1
5	8	2	2	0
6	6	2	0	0
7	10	3	2	0
8	6	4	1	0
9	7	1	4	2
10	3	3	0	1
11	4	0	0	2
12	1	3	0	0
Ungraded	0	0	0	0
Out-of-school	133	9	0	0
Total	320	54	19	16

Comments: Migrant students as a nature of the program are a mobile population. They are not the same children from year to year. Even if children were in the 13-24 month count, they leave the area and are not captured in the 25-36 month count. The change in percentages is due to calculations on only students that are still residing in the State of Maryland. Maryland requires that students must be enrolled (residency or in a program) twice a year to assure that they are in the State.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.1.6 Qualifying Move During Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **eligible** migrant children with any qualifying move during the regular school year within the previous 36 months calculated from the last day of the reporting period, August 31. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Move During Regular School Year
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	3
K	4
1	5
2	6
3	4
4	4
5	4
6	0
7	5
8	4
9	4
10	2
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	45
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.2 Academic Status

The following questions collect data about the academic status of **eligible** migrant students.

2.3.2.1 Dropouts

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant students who dropped out of school. The total is calculated automatically.

Grade	Dropped Out
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Total	0
Comments: Data has been verified.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQ on Dropouts:
How is "dropped out of school" defined? The term used for students, who, during the reporting period, were enrolled in a public or private school for at least one day, but who subsequently left school with no plans on returning to enroll in a school and continue toward a high school diploma. Students who dropped out-of-school prior to the 2007-08 reporting period should be classified NOT as "dropped-out-of-school" but as "out-of-school youth."

2.3.2.2 GED

In the table below, provide the total underlined number of **eligible** migrant students who obtained a General Education Development (GED) Certificate in your state.

Obtained a GED in your state	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.2.3 Participation in State NCLB Assessments

The following questions collect data about the participation of eligible migrant students in State NCLB Assessments.

2.3.2.3.1 Reading/Language Arts Participation

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **eligible** migrant students enrolled in school during the State testing window and tested by the State *NCLB* reading/language arts assessment by grade level. The totals are calculated automatically.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	3	3
4	3	2
5	3	2
6	0	0
7	5	3
8	3	2
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded	0	0
Total	17	12

Comments: Migrant population during the regular school year declined, many had left in the fall well before the testing schedule. All students eligible are tested.

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.2.3.2 Mathematics Participation

This section is similar to 2.3.2.3.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on migrant students and the State's *NCLB* mathematics assessment.

Grade	Enrolled	Tested
3	3	3
4	3	2
5	3	2
6	0	0
7	5	3
8	3	2
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded	0	0
Total	17	12

Comments: Migrant population during the regular school year declined, many had left in the fall well before the testing schedule. All students eligible are tested.

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.3 MEP Participation Data

The following questions collect data about the participation of migrant students served during the regular school year, summer/intersession term, or program year.

Unless otherwise indicated, participating migrant children include:

- Children who received instructional or support services funded in whole or in part with MEP funds.
- Children who received a MEP-funded service, even those children who continued to receive services (1) during the term their eligibility ended, (2) for one additional school year after their eligibility ended, if comparable services were not available through other programs, and (3) in secondary school after their eligibility ended, and served through credit accrual programs until graduation (e.g., children served under the continuation of services authority, Section 1304(e)(1–3)).

Do not include:

- Children who were served through a Title I SWP where MEP funds were consolidated with those of other programs.
- Children who were served by a "referred" service only.

2.3.3.1 MEP Participation – Regular School Year

The following questions collect data on migrant children who participated in the MEP during the **regular** school year. Do not include:

- Children who were only served during the summer/intersession term.

2.3.3.1.1 MEP Students Served During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the regular school year. Do **not** count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Regular School Year
Age Birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	0
6	0
7	1
8	2
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	7
Comments: First year students were served with MEP funds during the regular school year. Services were not provided last year. Prior to the 2007-08 school year, only summer instructional services were provided.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.3.1.2 Priority for Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the regular school year. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
Comments:	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.3.1.3 Continuation of Services – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the regular school year served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.1.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the regular school year.

FAQ on Services:
What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are not considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.1.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the regular school year. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	1
3	1
4	1
5	0
6	0
7	1
8	2
9	0
10	1
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	7
Comments: Increase resulted by the fact that this was the first year offering extended time tutoring services.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.1.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the regular school year. Include children who received such instructional services provided by <u>a teacher only</u>. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0	
K	0	0	
1	0	0	
2	1	1	
3	1	1	
4	1	1	
5	0	0	
6	0	0	
7	1	1	
8	2	2	
9	0	0	0
10	1	1	0
11	0	0	0
12	0	0	0
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0	0
Total	7	7	0
Comments: First year offering tutorial services during the regular school year.			

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:
What is *"high school credit accrual"?* Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a <u>teacher</u> for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

2.3.3.1.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> MEP-funded support service during the regular school year. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the regular school year. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	0	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0	0
K	0	0
1	0	0
2	0	0
3	0	0
4	0	0
5	0	0
6	0	0
7	0	0
8	0	0
9	0	0
10	0	0
11	0	0
12	0	0
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0
Total	0	0
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on Support Services:

a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.1.4.4 Referred Service – During the Regular School Year

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who, during the regular school year, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.2 MEP Participation – Summer/Intersession Term

The questions in this subsection are similar to the questions in the previous section. There are two differences. First, the questions in this subsection collect data on the **summer/intersession term** instead of the regular school year. The second is the source for the table on migrant students served during the summer/intersession is ED*Facts* file N/X124 that includes data group 637, category set A.

2.3.3.2.1 MEP Students Served During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. Do <u>not</u> count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During Summer/Intersession Term
Age Birth through 2	16
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	40
K	10
1	13
2	12
3	8
4	5
5	11
6	5
7	9
8	7
9	9
10	3
11	4
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	154
Comments: Many migrant students are different from year to year (nature of the program). Both project sites intensified the services offering to meet the student's needs in reading and math. Both sites leadership encouraged student attendance in the summer program. Middle school and high school students were offered home tutoring based on needs identified through reading and math assessments. Coordinated services with Migrant Head Start allowed students that would otherwise have to stay home to babysit attend the summer program.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.3.2.2 Priority for Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who have been classified as having "priority for services" and who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Priority for Services
Age 3 through 5	2
K	2
1	2
2	3
3	2
4	2
5	0
6	0
7	1
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	14
Comments: The students from year to year are not the same. Even though most of the students had academic and language needs they came to Maryland after the end of school in Texas or Florida, their education was not interrupted. Maryland looks at interrupted education in the past regular school year as the law requires.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.3.2.3 Continuation of Services – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined unduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received instructional or support services during the summer/intersession term served under the continuation of services authority Sections 1304(e)(2)–(3). Do **not** include children served under Section 1304(e)(1), which are children whose eligibility expired during the school term. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Continuation of Services
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	0
K	0
1	0
2	0
3	0
4	0
5	0
6	0
7	0
8	0
9	0
10	0
11	0
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	0
Total	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.2.4 Services

The following questions collect data on the services provided to participating migrant children during the summer/intersession term.

FAQ on Services:
What are services? Services are a subset of all allowable activities that the MEP can provide through its programs and projects. "Services" are those educational or educationally related activities that: (1) directly benefit a migrant child; (2) address a need of a migrant child consistent with the SEA's comprehensive needs assessment and service delivery plan; (3) are grounded in scientifically based research or, in the case of support services, are a generally accepted practice; and (4) are designed to enable the program to meet its measurable outcomes and contribute to the achievement of the State's performance targets. Activities related to identification and recruitment activities, parental involvement, program evaluation, professional development, or administration of the program are examples of allowable activities that are NOT considered services. Other examples of an allowable activity that would not be considered a service would be the one-time act of providing instructional packets to a child or family, and handing out leaflets to migrant families on available reading programs as part of an effort to increase the reading skills of migrant children. Although these are allowable activities, they are not services because they do not meet all of the criteria above.

2.3.3.2.4.1 Instructional Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underduplicated number of **participating** migrant children who received any type of MEP-funded instructional service during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received instructional services provided by either a teacher or a paraprofessional. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a service intervention. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving an Instructional Service
Age birth through 2	16
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	40
K	10
1	13
2	12
3	8
4	5
5	11
6	5
7	9
8	7
9	9
10	3
11	4
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	154

Comments: Many migrant students are different from year to year. Both project sites intensified the services offering to meet the student's needs in reading and math. Both sites leadership encouraged student attendance in the summer program. Middle school and high school students were offered home tutoring based on needs identified through reading and math assessments. Coordinated services with Migrant Head Start allowed students that would otherwise have to stay home to babysit attend the summer program.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.2.4.2 Type of Instructional Service

In the table below, provide the number of **participating** migrant children reported in the table above who received reading instruction, mathematics instruction, or high school credit accrual during the summer/intersession term. Include children who received such instructional services provided by <u>a teacher only</u>. Children may be reported as having received more than one type of instructional service in the table. However, children should be reported only once within each type of instructional service that they received regardless of the frequency with which they received the instructional service. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Reading Instruction	Mathematics Instruction	High School Credit Accrual
Age birth through 2	0	0	
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	27	27	
K	10	10	
1	13	13	
2	12	12	
3	8	8	
4	5	5	
5	11	11	
6	5	5	
7	9	9	
8	7	7	
9	9	9	0
10	3	3	0
11	4	4	0
12	1	1	0
Ungraded	0	0	0
Out-of-school	1	1	0
Total	125	125	0

Comments: Many migrant students are different from year to year. The Increase of services is due to the following: Both project sites intensified the services offering to meet the student's needs in reading and math. Both sites leadership encouraged student attendance in the summer program. Middle school and high school students were offered home tutoring based on needs identified through reading and math assessments. Coordinated services with Migrant Head Start allowed students that would otherwise have to stay home to babysit attend the summer program.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQ on Types of Instructional Services:
What is *"high school credit accrual"?* Instruction in courses that accrue credits needed for high school graduation provided by a <u>teacher</u> for students on a regular or systematic basis, usually for a predetermined period of time. Includes correspondence courses taken by a student under the supervision of a teacher.

2.3.3.2.4.3 Support Services with Breakout for Counseling Service

In the table below, in the column titled **Support Services**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received <u>any</u> MEP-funded support service during the summer/intersession term. In the column titled **Counseling Service**, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of **participating** migrant children who received a counseling service during the summer/intersession term. Children should be reported only once in each column regardless of the frequency with which they received a support service intervention. The totals are calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Children Receiving Support Services	Breakout of Children Receiving Counseling Service
Age birth through 2	16	0
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	40	0
K	10	0
1	13	0
2	12	0
3	8	0
4	5	1
5	11	0
6	5	0
7	9	0
8	5	0
9	7	0
10	2	0
11	2	0
12	1	0
Ungraded	0	0
Out-of-school	0	0
Total	146	1
Comments: First year counseling services was offered.		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on Support Services:

a. *What are support services?* These MEP-funded services include, but are not limited to, health, nutrition, counseling, and social services for migrant families; necessary educational supplies, and transportation. The one-time act of providing instructional or informational packets to a child or family does not constitute a support service.

b. *What are counseling services?* Services to help a student to better identify and enhance his or her educational, personal, or occupational potential; relate his or her abilities, emotions, and aptitudes to educational and career opportunities; utilize his or her abilities in formulating realistic plans; and achieve satisfying personal and social development. These activities take place between one or more counselors and one or more students as counselees, between students and students, and between counselors and other staff members. The services can also help the child address life problems or personal crisis that result from the culture of migrancy.

2.3.3.2.4.4 Referred Service – During the Summer/Intersession Term

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who, during the summer/intersession term, received an educational or educationally related service funded by another non-MEP program/organization that they would not have otherwise received without efforts supported by MEP funds. Children should be reported only once regardless of the frequency with which they received a referred service. Include children who were served by a referred service only or who received both a referred service and MEP-funded services. Do not include children who were referred, but received no services. The total is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Referred Service
Age birth through 2	5
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	4
K	4
1	3
2	6
3	4
4	3
5	3
6	0
7	3
8	2
9	0
10	0
11	1
12	0
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	39
Comments: Increase resulted in better collection of data from summer projects.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.3.3.3 MEP Participation – Program Year

In the table below, provide the underlined number of **participating** migrant children who received MEP-funded instructional or support services at any time during the program year. Do not count the number of times an individual child received a service intervention. The total number of students served is calculated automatically.

Age/Grade	Served During the Program Year
Age Birth through 2	16
Age 3 through 5 (not Kindergarten)	40
K	10
1	13
2	12
3	8
4	5
5	11
6	5
7	9
8	7
9	9
10	4
11	4
12	1
Ungraded	0
Out-of-school	1
Total	155
Comments: First year services were offered.	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.4 School Data

The following questions are about the enrollment of eligible migrant children in schools during the regular school year.

2.3.4.1 Schools and Enrollment

In the table below, provide the number of public schools that enrolled **eligible** migrant children at any time during the regular school year. Schools include public schools that serve school age (e.g., grades K through 12) children. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools that enrolled eligible migrant children	30
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	103
Comments:	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.4.2 Schools Where MEP Funds Were Consolidated in Schoolwide Programs

In the table below, provide the number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in an SWP. Also, provide the number of **eligible** migrant children who were enrolled in those schools at any time during the regular school year. Since more than one school in a State may enroll the same migrant child at some time during the year, the number of children may include duplicates.

	#
Number of schools where MEP funds were consolidated in a schoolwide program	0
Number of eligible migrant children enrolled in those schools	0
Comments:	

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.3.5 MEP Project Data

The following questions collect data on MEP projects.

2.3.5.1 Type of MEP Project

In the table below, provide the number of projects that are funded in whole or in part with MEP funds. A MEP project is the entity that receives MEP funds by a subgrant from the State or through an intermediate entity that receives the subgrant <u>and</u> provides services directly to the migrant child. Do <u>not</u> include projects where MEP funds were consolidated in SWP.

Also, provide the number of migrant children **participating** in the projects. Since children may participate in more than one project, the number of children may include duplicates.

Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Type of MEP Project	Number of MEP Projects	Number of Migrant Children Participating in the Projects
Regular school year – school day only	0	0
Regular school year – school day/extended day	1	7
Summer/intersession only	2	154
Year round	0	0
Comments: First time extended instructional services during the regular school year in one project site to meet the needs of migrant children in academic core area (reading, math and language)		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on type of MEP project:

a. *What is a project?* A project is any entity that receives MEP funds either as a subgrantee or from a subgrantee and provides services directly to migrant children in accordance with the State Service Delivery Plan and State approved subgrant applications. A project's services may be provided in one or more sites.

b. *What are Regular School Year – School Day Only projects?* Projects where <u>all</u> MEP services are provided during the school day during the regular school year.

c. *What are Regular School Year – School Day/Extended Day projects?* Projects where <u>some</u> or <u>all</u> MEP services are provided during an extended day or week during the regular school year (e.g., some services are provided during the school day and some outside of the school day; e.g., all services are provided outside of the school day).

d. *What are Summer/Intersession Only projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the summer/intersession term.

e. *What are Year Round projects?* Projects where all MEP services are provided during the regular school year and summer/intersession term.

2.3.6 MEP Personnel Data

The following questions collect data on MEP personnel data.

2.3.6.1 Key MEP Personnel

The following questions collect data about the key MEP personnel.

2.3.6.1.1 MEP State Director

In the table below, provide the FTE amount of time the State director performs MEP duties (<u>regardless of whether the director is funded by State, MEP, or other funds</u>) during the reporting period (e.g., September 1 through August 31). Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

State Director FTE	0.30
Comments: FTE was increased by 10% (from 20% to 30%) to reflect functions identified in the positions to reflect MEP responsibilities in their MSDE position description	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on the MEP State director

a. *How is the FTE calculated for the State director?* Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked for the MEP. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one *FTE* for the State director in your State for the reporting period. To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the State director worked for the MEP during the reporting period and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in the reporting period.

b. *Who is the State director?* The manager within the SEA who administers the MEP on a statewide basis.

2.3.6.1.2 MEP Staff

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE by job classification of the staff <u>funded by the MEP</u>. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

Job Classification	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Teachers	0	0.00	21	16.70
Counselors	0	0.00	1	0.90
All paraprofessionals	0	0.00	9	8.70
Recruiters	2	2.00	4	4.00
Records transfer staff	1	1.00	1	1.00
Comments: Staff counselors- summer/ intersession- headcount and FTE -: New position in the LEA's Project Staff Recruiters School year -FTE : One Regional Recruiter was hired full time. Previously this was only a .5 position.				

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQs on MEP staff:

a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
    1. To calculate the FTE, in each job category, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
    2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE for each job classification in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked in a particular job classification for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.

b. *Who is a teacher?* A classroom instructor who is licensed and meets any other teaching requirements in the State.

c. *Who is a counselor?* A professional staff member who guides individuals, families, groups, and communities by assisting them in problem-solving, decision-making, discovering meaning, and articulating goals related to personal, educational, and career development.

d. *Who is a paraprofessional?* An individual who: (1) provides one-on-one tutoring if such tutoring is scheduled at a time when a student would not otherwise receive instruction from a teacher; (2) assists with classroom management, such as organizing instructional and other materials; (3) provides instructional assistance in a computer laboratory; (4) conducts parental involvement activities; (5) provides support in a library or media center; (6) acts as a translator; or (7) provides instructional support services under the direct supervision of a teacher (Title I, Section 1119(g)(2)). Because a paraprofessional provides instructional support, he/she should not be providing planned direct instruction or introducing to students new skills, concepts, or academic content. Individuals who work in food services, cafeteria or playground supervision, personal care services, non-instructional computer assistance, and similar positions are not considered paraprofessionals under Title I.

e. *Who is a recruiter?* A staff person responsible for identifying and recruiting children as eligible for the MEP and documenting their eligibility on the Certificate of Eligibility.

f. *Who is a record transfer staffer?* An individual who is responsible for entering, retrieving, or sending student records from or to another school or student records system.

2.3.6.1.3 Qualified Paraprofessionals

In the table below, provide the headcount and FTE of the qualified paraprofessionals funded by the MEP. Do **not** include staff employed in SWP where MEP funds were combined with those of other programs. Below the table are FAQs about the data collected in this table.

	Regular School Year		Summer/Intersession Term	
	Headcount	FTE	Headcount	FTE
Qualified paraprofessionals	0	0.00	9	8.70
Comments: Qualified Paraprofessionals-FTE: FTE reflects more hours per week than the previous year.				

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQs on qualified paraprofessionals:

a. *How is the FTE calculated?* The FTE may be calculated using one of two methods:
   1. To calculate the FTE, sum the percentage of time that staff were funded by the MEP and enter the total FTE for that category.
   2. Calculate the FTE using the number of days worked. To do so, first define how many full-time days constitute one FTE in your State for each term. (For example, one regular-term FTE may equal 180 full-time (8 hour) work days; one summer term FTE may equal 30 full-time work days; or one intersession FTE may equal 45 full-time work days split between three 15-day non-contiguous blocks throughout the year.) To calculate the FTE number, sum the total days the individuals worked for a term and divide this sum by the number of full-time days that constitute one FTE in that term.

b. *Who is a qualified paraprofessional?* A qualified paraprofessional must have a secondary school diploma or its recognized equivalent and have (1) completed 2 years of study at an institution of higher education; (2) obtained an associate's (or higher) degree; or (3) met a rigorous standard of quality and be able to demonstrate, through a formal State or local academic assessment, knowledge of and the ability to assist in instructing reading, writing, and mathematics (or, as appropriate, reading readiness, writing readiness, and mathematics readiness) (Sections 1119(c) and (d) of *ESEA*).

2.4 **PREVENTION AND INTERVENTION PROGRAMS FOR CHILDREN AND YOUTH WHO ARE NEGLECTED, DELINQUENT, OR AT RISK (TITLE I, PART D, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)**

This section collects data on programs and facilities that serve students who are neglected, delinquent, or at risk under Title I, Part D, and characteristics about and services provided to these students.

Throughout this section:

- Report data for the program year of July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.
- Count programs/facilities based on how the program was classified to ED for funding purposes.
- Do not include programs funded solely through Title I, Part A.
- Use the definitions listed below:
  - **Adult Corrections:** An adult correctional institution is a facility in which persons, including persons 21 or under, are confined as a result of conviction for a criminal offense.
  - **At-Risk Programs:** Programs operated (through LEAs) that target students who are at risk of academic failure, have a drug or alcohol problem, are pregnant or parenting, have been in contact with the juvenile justice system in the past, are at least 1 year behind the expected age/grade level, have limited English proficiency, are gang members, have dropped out of school in the past, or have a high absenteeism rate at school.
  - **Juvenile Corrections:** An institution for delinquent children and youth is a public or private residential facility other than a foster home that is operated for the care of children and youth who have been adjudicated delinquent or in need of supervision. Include any programs serving adjudicated youth (including non-secure facilities and group homes) in this category.
  - **Juvenile Detention Facilities:** Detention facilities are shorter-term institutions that provide care to children who require secure custody pending court adjudication, court disposition, or execution of a court order, or care to children after commitment.
  - **Multiple Purpose Facility:** An institution/facility/program that serves more than one programming purpose. For example, the same facility may run both a juvenile correction program and a juvenile detention program.
  - **Neglected Programs:** An institution for neglected children and youth is a public or private residential facility, other than a foster home, that is operated primarily for the care of children who have been committed to the institution or voluntarily placed under applicable State law due to abandonment, neglect, or death of their parents or guardians.
  - **Other:** Any other programs, not defined above, which receive Title I, Part D funds and serve non-adjudicated children and youth.

2.4.1 State Agency Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities.

2.4.1.1 Programs and Facilities - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the average length of stay by program/facility type, for these students. Report only programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is a FAQ about the data collected in this table.

State Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay in Days
Neglected programs	0	0
Juvenile detention	11	14
Juvenile corrections	12	83
Adult corrections	14	123
Other	0	0
Total	37	38

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	12
Comments:	

FAQ on Programs and Facilities - Subpart I:
How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit, for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.1.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of State agency programs/facilities that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

State Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
Neglected Programs	0
Juvenile Detention	11
Juvenile Corrections	12
Adult Corrections	14
Other	0
Total	37
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.2 Students Served – Subpart 1

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in State agency Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 that are long-term. In the subsequent tables provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served	0	4,013	1,985	648	0
Long Term Students Served	0	0	545	648	0

Race/Ethnicity	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	21	10	0	0
Asian or Pacific Islander	0	25	16	0	0
Black, non-Hispanic	0	2,842	1,493	535	0
Hispanic	0	216	92	29	0
White, non-Hispanic	0	909	374	84	0
Total	0	4,013	1,985	648	0

Sex	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Male	0	3,221	1,875	616	0
Female	0	792	110	32	0
Total	0	4,013	1,985	648	0

Age	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
3 through 5	0	0	0	0	0
6	0	0	0	0	0
7	0	0	0	0	0
8	0	0	0	0	0
9	0	0	0	0	0
10	0	0	0	0	0
11	0	3	0	0	0
12	0	25	19	0	0
13	0	123	36	0	0
14	0	355	108	0	0
15	0	670	284	0	0
16	0	977	531	0	0
17	0	1,157	640	47	0
18	0	589	334	100	0
19	0	97	32	255	0
20	0	13	1	246	0
21	0	4	0	0	0
Total	0	4,013	1,985	648	0

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain in comment box below.

This response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments:

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:
What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.

2.4.1.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (not students) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should <u>not</u> exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

# Programs That	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)		23	0	
Awarded high school diploma(s)		7	14	
Awarded GED(s)		11	14	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections Facilities	Other Programs
Earned high school course credits		3,514	0	
Enrolled in a GED program		466	648	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA					

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in their local district school		1,044	0	
Earned a GED		179	89	
Obtained high school diploma		20	0	
Were accepted into post-secondary education		66	4	
Enrolled in post-secondary education		45	4	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA					

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 1.

2.4.1.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs		2,055	41	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the State Agency Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the State agency program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention Facilities	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Enrolled in external job training education		79	3	
Obtained employment		387	0	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.1.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 1

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.1.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 1

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of <u>long-term</u> students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 1, who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2007, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities <u>together</u> in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		304	648	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		281	392	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		21	0	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		86	7	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		27	80	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		26	180	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		121	125	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA				

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQ on long-term students:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.

2.4.1.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 1

This section is similar to 2.4.1.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry		402	648	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)		281	392	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Adult Corrections	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams		19	0	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		32	6	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		27	101	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		26	168	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams		177	117	
Comments: Neglected and Other Programs = NA				

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.4.2 LEA Title I, Part D Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities.

2.4.2.1 Programs and Facilities – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities that serve neglected and delinquent students and the yearly average length of stay by program/facility type for these students. Report only the programs and facilities that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funding during the reporting year. Count a facility once if it offers only one type of program. If a facility offers more than one type of program (i.e., it is a multipurpose facility), then count each of the separate programs. Make sure to identify the number of multipurpose facilities that were included in the facility/program count in the second table. The total number of programs/ facilities will be automatically calculated. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Programs/Facilities	Average Length of Stay (# days)
At-risk programs	3	120
Neglected programs	4	89
Juvenile detention	1	50
Juvenile corrections	4	221
Other	0	0
Total	12	117

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

How many of the programs listed in the table above are in a multiple purpose facility?

	#
Programs in a multiple purpose facility	4
Comments:	

FAQ on average length of stay:
How is average length of stay calculated? The average length of stay should be weighted by number of students and should include the number of days, per visit for each student enrolled during the reporting year, regardless of entry or exit date. Multiple visits for students who entered more than once during the reporting year can be included. The average length of stay in days should not exceed 365.

2.4.2.1.1 Programs and Facilities That Reported - Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on neglected and delinquent students.

The total row will be automatically calculated.

LEA Program/Facility Type	# Reporting Data
At-risk programs	3
Neglected programs	4
Juvenile detention	1
Juvenile corrections	4
Other	0
Total	12
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.2 Students Served – Subpart 2

In the tables below, provide the number of neglected and delinquent students served in LEA Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 programs and facilities. Report only students who received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 services during the reporting year. In the first table, provide in row 1 the underlined number of students served by each program, and in row 2, the total number of students in row 1 who are long-term. In the subsequent tables, provide the number of students served by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age. The total number of students by race/ethnicity, by sex, and by age will be automatically calculated.

# of Students Served	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Total Unduplicated Students Served	594	475	462	293	
Total Long Term Students Served	398	33	79	178	

Race/Ethnicity	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
American Indian or Alaska Native	0	1	0	0	
Asian or Pacific Islander	16	1	0	5	
Black, non-Hispanic	335	385	456	158	
Hispanic	171	14	2	4	
White, non-Hispanic	72	74	4	126	
Total	594	475	462	293	

Sex	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
Male	502	175	451	198	
Female	92	300	11	95	
Total	594	475	462	293	

Age	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention	Juvenile Corrections	Other Programs
3-5	0	0	0	0	
6	0	0	0	0	
7	0	0	0	0	
8	0	12	0	0	
9	0	11	0	0	
10	0	10	0	0	
11	3	19	0	0	
12	9	41	0	0	
13	30	75	0	1	
14	49	83	5	19	
15	72	92	106	46	
16	98	63	320	98	
17	119	44	31	94	
18	90	21	0	30	
19	64	4	0	5	
20	36	0	0	0	
21	24	0	0	0	
Total	594	475	462	293	

If the total number of students differs by demographics, please explain. The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Comments: Other Programs = NA

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

FAQ on Unduplicated Count:
What is an unduplicated count? An unduplicated count is one that counts students only once, even if they were admitted to a facility or program multiple times within the reporting year.

FAQ on long-term:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2007 through June 30, 2008.

2.4.2.3 Programs/Facilities Academic Offerings – Subpart 2

In the table below, provide the number of programs/facilities (<u>not students</u>) that received Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 funds and awarded at least one high school course credit, one high school diploma, and/or one GED within the reporting year. Include programs/facilities that directly awarded a credit, diploma, or GED, as well as programs/facilities that made awards through another agency. The numbers should <u>not</u> exceed those reported earlier in the facility counts.

LEA Programs That	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Detention/ Corrections	Other Programs
Awarded high school course credit(s)	2	4	5	
Awarded high school diploma(s)	1	2	3	
Awarded GED(s)	1	2	4	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.4 Academic Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect academic outcome data on students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.4.1 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Earned high school course credits	283	377	459	
Enrolled in a GED program	82	11	222	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.4.2 Academic Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Calendar Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the <u>unduplicated</u> number of students who attained academic outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 calendar days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in their local district school	485	393	148	
Earned a GED	18	3	21	
Obtained high school diploma	17	2	5	
Were accepted into post-secondary education	4	5	7	
Enrolled in post-secondary education	2	3	6	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.5 Vocational Outcomes – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on vocational outcomes of students served through Title I, Part D, Subpart 2.

2.4.2.5.1 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in elective job training courses/programs	54	29	58	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.5.2 Vocational Outcomes While in the LEA Program/Facility or Within 30 Days After Exit

In the table below, provide the unduplicated number of students who attained vocational outcomes while in the LEA program/facility or within 30 days after exit, by type of program/facility.

# of Students Who	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Enrolled in external job training education	2	17	31	
Obtained employment	39	25	48	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.4.2.6 Academic Performance – Subpart 2

The following questions collect data on the academic performance of neglected and delinquent students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2 in reading and mathematics.

2.4.2.6.1 Academic Performance in Reading – Subpart 2

In the format of the table below, provide the underlined number of long-term students served by Title I, Part D, Subpart 2, who participated in pre- and post-testing in reading. Report only information on a student's most recent testing data. Students who were pre-tested prior to July 1, 2007, may be included if their post-test was administered during the reporting year. Students who were post-tested after the reporting year ended should be counted in the following year. Throughout the table, report numbers for juvenile detention and correctional facilities together in a single column. Students should be reported in only one of the five change categories in the second table below. Below the table is an FAQ about the data collected in this table.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry	123	24	67	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)	88	8	67	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	21	1	3	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	16	0	0	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	4	3	17	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	13	3	25	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	34	1	22	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

FAQ on long-term:
What is long-term? Long-term refers to students who were enrolled for at least 90 consecutive calendar days from July 1, 2007, through June 30, 2008.

2.4.2.6.2 Academic Performance in Mathematics – Subpart 2

This section is similar to 2.4.2.6.1. The only difference is that this section collects data on mathematics performance.

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Long-term students who tested below grade level upon entry	100	32	64	
Long-term students who have complete pre- and post-test results (data)	77	10	67	

Of the students reported in the second row above, indicate the number who showed:

Performance Data (Based on most recent pre/post-test data)	At-Risk Programs	Neglected Programs	Juvenile Corrections/ Detention	Other Programs
Negative grade level change from the pre- to post-test exams	12	1	2	
No change in grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	12	1	0	
Improvement of up to 1/2 grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	10	4	17	
Improvement from 1/2 up to one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	7	3	27	
Improvement of more than one full grade level from the pre- to post-test exams	36	1	21	
Comments: Other Programs = NA				

Source – Initially populated from ED*Facts. See* Attachment D: CSPR & ED*Facts* Data Crosswalk.

2.7 *SAFE AND DRUG FREE SCHOOLS AND COMMUNITIES ACT* **(**TITLE **IV,** PART **A)**

This section collects data on student behaviors under the *Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities Act.*

2.7.1 Performance Measures

In the table below, provide actual performance data.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.1: Number of victims of violent criminal offenses who transfer to other schools.	Violent Criminal Offenses in Schools Report	Annual	2007-08	2005-06: 0 2006-07: 0 2007-08: 30 2008-09: 5 2009-10: 5	2005-06: 39 2006-07: 42 2007-08: 1	0	2002-03
Comments:							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.2: Percentage of alcohol use (last 30 days) in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12.	Maryland Adolescent Survey (MAS)	Biennial	2007-08	2005-06: 2006-07: 2007-08: Grade 6= 4.5% Grade 8= 14.4% Grade 10= 30.0% Grade 12=40.3% 2008-09: 2009-10: Grade 6= 3.5% Grade 8= 12.0% Grade 10= 26.0% Grade 12=40.3%	2005-06: 2006-07: 2007-08: Grade 6= 3.8% Grade 8= 12.7% Grade 10=27.8% Grade 12=42.2%	Grade 6=5.0% Grade 8=16.4% Grade 10=35.0% Grade12=44.3%	2002-03
Comments: Note 1: The MAS was administered in 2004-05 and again in 2007-08.							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

			Year of				

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.2 (Continued) Percentage of other drug use (last 30 days) in grades 6, 8,10, and 12.	Maryland Adolescent Survey (MAS)	Biennial	2007-08	2005-06: 2006-07: 2007-08: Grade 6= 3.0% Grade 8= 9.4% Grade 10= 17.0% Grade 12= 25.2% 2008-09: 2009-10: Grade 6= 3.4% Grade 8= 8.5% Grade 10= 16.0% Grade 12= 23.7%	2005-06: 2006-07: 2007-08: Grade 6= 3.6% Grade 8= 8.6% Grade 10= 17.1% Grade 12= 24.0%	Grade 6= 3.7% Grade 8= 11.4% Grade 10= 21.3% Grade 12= 28.2%	2002-03
Comments: Note 1: The MAS was administered in 2004-05 and again in 2007-08.							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.3: Number of suspensions by offense (combined in- and out-of-schools suspensions: Classroom Disruptions	Suspensions, Expulsions, And Health-Related Exclusions Report	Annual	2007-08	2005-06: 9692 2006-07: 9401 2007-08: 8976 2008-09: 6200 2009-10: 6200	2005-06: 9349 2006-07: 9311 2007-08: 6228	10621	2002-03
Comments:							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.3: Number of suspensions by offense (combined in- and out-of-schools suspensions: Insubordination	Suspensions, Expulsions, And Health-Related Exclusions Report	Annual	2007-08	2005-06: 12764 2006-07: 12509 2007-08: 14260 2008-09: 8200 2009-10: 8200	2005-06: 13044 2006-07: 14414 2007-08: 8232	13561	2002-03

Comments:

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.3: Number of suspensions by offense (combined in- and out-of-schools suspensions: Refusal to Obey School Policies	Suspensions, Expulsions, And Health-Related Exclusions Report	Annual	2007-08	2005-06: 19804 2006-07: 19210 2007-08: 19934 2008-09: 12750 2009-10: 12700	2005-06: 18170 2006-07: 20199 2007-08: 12995	18732	2002-03
Comments:							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.1.4: Number of persistently dangerous schools	Persistently Dangerous Schools Report	Annual	2008-09	2005-06: 0 2006-07: 0 2007-08: 0 2008-09: 3 2009-10: 2	2005-06: 5 2006-07: 6 2007-08: 4	0	2002-03
Comments: Actual performance for 2008-09: 5							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

Performance Indicator	Instrument/ Data Source	Frequency of Collection	Year of most recent collection	Targets	Actual Performance	Baseline	Year Baseline Established
4.2.1: Percentage of local school systems using researched-based programs to prevent/reduce alcohol, tobacco and other drugs (ATOD)use and violence	Safe & Drug-Free Schools Report (see Bridge to Excellence (BTE) Attachment 11)	Annual	2007-08	2005-06: 100% 2006-07: 100% 2007-08: 100% 2008-09: 100% 2009-10: 100%	2005-06: 68% 2006-07: 71% 2007-08: 100%	100%	2002-03
Comments:							

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions

The following questions collect data on the out-of-school suspension and expulsion of students by grade level (e.g., K through 5, 6 through 8, 9 through 12) and type of incident (e.g., violence, weapons possession, alcohol-related, illicit drug-related).

2.7.2.1 State Definitions

In the spaces below, provide the State definitions for each type of incident.

Incident Type	State Definition
Alcohol related	Possession, use or showing evidence or use, sale, or distribution of any alcoholic substances.
Illicit drug related	Possession, use or showing evidence of use, sale, or distribution of controlled dangerous substances including prescription drugs, over-the-counter medicines, look-alike drugs, and substances represented as controlled substances of drug paraphernalia.
Violent incident without physical injury	Not defined
Violent incident with physical injury	Not defined
Weapons possession	Possession of a firearm as defined in 18 USC921 of the federal code; Possession of any gun, of any kind, loaded or unloaded, operable or inoperable, including any object that is a look-alike of a gun, other than a firearm; and Possession of any implement which could cause or is intended to cause bodily harm, other than a firearm or other gun.
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.2 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident without physical injury.

2.7.2.2.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
Comments: MD does not collect violent incident with or without physical injury data and a policy change would be required to collect it for future reports.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.2.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident without physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident without physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident Without Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
Comments: MD does not collect violent incident with or without physical injury data and a policy change would be required to collect it for future reports.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.3 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

The following questions collect data on violent incident with physical injury.

2.7.2.3.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
Comments: MD does not collect this data and a policy change would be required to collect it for future reports.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.3.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury

In the table below, provide the number of out-of school expulsions for violent incident with physical injury by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on violent incident with physical injury, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsions for Violent Incident with Physical Injury	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5		0
6 through 8		0
9 through 12		0
Comments: MD does not collect this data and a policy change would be required to collect it for future reports.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.4 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Weapons Possession

The following sections collect data on weapons possession.

2.7.2.4.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	482	24
6 through 8	618	24
9 through 12	774	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method" EXPCOES-Expulsions (Cessation of Education Services)" was reported. Expulsions with educational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.4.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Weapons Possession

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for weapons possession by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on weapons possession, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Weapons Possession	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	37	24
6 through 8	137	24
9 through 12	204	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method" EXPCOES-Expulsions (Cessation of Education Services)" was reported. Expulsions with educational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.5 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on alcohol-related incidents.

2.7.2.5.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	4	24
6 through 8	127	24
9 through 12	611	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method "EXPCOES - Expulsions (Cessation of Edcation Services)" was reported. Expulsions with educational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.5.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Alcohol-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for alcohol-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on alcohol-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Alcohol-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	0	24
6 through 8	6	24
9 through 12	11	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method "EXPCOES - Expulsions (Cessation of Edcation Services)" was reported. Expulsions with educational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.6 Out-of-School Suspensions and Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

The following questions collect data on illicit drug-related incidents.

2.7.2.6.1 Out-of-School Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school suspensions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Suspensions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	17	24
6 through 8	345	24
9 through 12	1,470	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method" EXPCOES-Expulsions (Cessation of Education Services)" was reported. Expulsions with edcational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.2.6.2 Out-of-School Expulsions for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents

In the table below, provide the number of out-of-school expulsions for illicit drug-related incidents by grade level. Also, provide the number of LEAs that reported data on illicit drug-related incidents, including LEAs that report no incidents.

Grades	# Expulsion for Illicit Drug-Related Incidents	# LEAs Reporting
K through 5	0	24
6 through 8	57	24
9 through 12	197	24
Comments: The federal requirements had changed between 2007 and 2008. In 2007, only "Discipline Method" EXPCOES-Expulsions (Cessation of Education Services)" was reported. Expulsions with edcational services provided were not reported as expulsions, but were reported as "Other". In 2008, the category "Discipline Method (Safe and Drug-Free)" was removed. Therefore, all expulsions were reported, with and without educational services provided.		

Source – Manual entry by the SEA into the online collection tool.

2.7.3 Parent Involvement

In the table below, provide the types of efforts your State uses to inform parents of, and include parents in, drug and violence prevention efforts. Place a check mark next to the five <u>most common</u> efforts underway in your State. If there are other efforts underway in your State not captured on the list, add those in the other specify section.

Yes/No	Parental Involvement Activities
Yes	Information dissemination on Web sites and in publications, including newsletters, guides, brochures, and "report cards" on school performance
Yes	Training and technical assistance to LEAs on recruiting and involving parents
Yes	State requirement that parents must be included on LEA advisory councils
No	State and local parent training, meetings, conferences, and workshops
No	Parent involvement in State-level advisory groups
Yes	Parent involvement in school-based teams or community coalitions
No	Parent surveys, focus groups, and/or other assessments of parent needs and program effectiveness
Yes	Media and other campaigns (Public service announcements, red ribbon campaigns, kick-off events, parenting awareness month, safe schools week, family day, etc.) to raise parental awareness of drug and alcohol or safety issues
No Response	Other Specify 1
No Response	Other Specify 2

In the space below, specify 'other' parental activities.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Maryland's Plan for Family, School, and Community Involvement
The plan addresses the importance of families, schools, and communities working together to reach academic success for all students. Parent and family involvement in education is a priority goal for the Maryland State Department of Education and the State Board of Education. The goal is to create family-friendly schools where everyone - from teachers to parents - has the tools to promote student success. Family involvement makes up one-fifth of the Department's strategic plan.

Maryland's Parent Advisory Council (M-PAC)
State Superintendent of Schools, Nancy S. Grasmick, established Maryland's Parent Advisory Council (M-PAC) in the fall of 2003. The Council, composed of 125 parents, educators, parent advocacy group representatives, and community representatives, was charged to make recommendations to advise the Maryland State Department of Education (MSDE) on strategies to address Goal 5, "Parents and legal guardians will be involved in education," of Achievement Matters Most, Maryland's Plan for Every Student.

M-PAC generated 21 recommendations on how the objectives of Goal 5 can be met. On August 30, 2005, the State Board of Education unanimously voted to accept all 21 of the recommendations made by the Council in its report entitled A Shared Responsibility: Recommendations for Increasing Family and Community Involvement in Schools.

The Superintendent's Family Involvement Council
In April 2006, the State Superintendent of Schools created the Superintendent's Family Involvement Council to lay the groundwork for implementing the M-PAC recommendations. The Council is comprised of approximately 40 members that represent a geographic and ethnic diversity of parents, educators, students, and community- and faith-based organizations. The Council will serve in an advisory capacity to the Maryland State Department of Education on issues of family involvement.

The Maryland Adolescent Survey (MAS)
This student survey, which is administered every two years in grades 6, 8, 10, and 12, employs a series of questions to determine the nature and extent of family involvement in the lives of adolescents. Two portions of the final report are dedicated to parenting practices and the influence of parents and friends on substance users and non-users. This information is provided to each local school system and the results are used to provide information to families about the importance of family involvement in our overall efforts to prevent/reduce drug use and other inappropriate activities. MSDE staff provides statewide presentations on the findings of the MAS to school, family, and community stakeholders.

Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS)
PBIS is a statewide behavioral initiative, which is currently active in 301 schools throughout the State. A critical component of this initiative is the involvement of parents/family in the schools' efforts to create and maintain safe and orderly learning environments. Many of the participating PBIS schools engage families by providing them with strategies to provide positive

behavioral environments within their homes.

Character Education Programs
The statewide Character Education Program helps schools support the home by fostering personal and civic virtues such as respect for self, empathy for others, a sense of self-discipline and responsibility, personal integrity, trust, fairness, courage, and love of learning. It reasserts the responsibility of schools, parents, and community members to be as concerned with the development of character as they are with the education of the intellect.

The Safe Schools Reporting Act
Section 7-424 of the Education Article, Annotated Code of Maryland was passed by the 2005 Maryland General Assembly and became law on July 1, 2005. The law addresses bullying and harassment in Maryland's public schools and requires each local school system (LSS) to distribute standard harassment/intimidation reporting forms developed by the MSDE to each public school in its jurisdiction. Beginning in SY 2005-06, the standard reporting forms were completed and returned to local schools by students, parents/guardians, or close relatives who believed that an incident of harassment or intimidation had occurred against the student.

After School Programs
The 21st Century Community Learning Centers provide parent literacy and involvement as an integrated portion of their services/program. Some examples of these programs include: literacy classes, GED preparation classes, family book nights and family math nights.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.8   INNOVATIVE PROGRAMS (TITLE V, PART A)

This section collects information pursuant to Title V, Part A of *ESEA*.

2.8.1 Annual Statewide Summary

Section 5122 of *ESEA*, requires States to provide an annual Statewide summary of how Title V, Part A funds contribute to the improvement of student academic performance and the quality of education for students. In addition, these summaries must be based on evaluations provided to the State by LEAs receiving program funds.

Please attach your statewide summary.   You can upload file by entering the file name and location in the box below or use the browse button to search for the file as you would when attaching a file to an e-mail. The maximum file size for this upload is 4 meg.

2.8.2 Needs Assessments

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that completed a Title V, Part A needs assessment that the State determined to be credible and the total number of LEAs that received Title V, Part A funds. The percentage column is automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	%
Completed credible Title V, Part A needs assessments	24	100.0
Total received Title V, Part A funds	24	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.8.3 LEA Expenditures

In the table below, provide the amount of Title V, Part A funds <u>expended</u> by the LEAs. The percentage column will be automatically calculated.

The **4 strategic priorities** are: (1) support student achievement, enhance reading and mathematics, (2) improve the quality of teachers, (3) ensure that schools are safe and drug free, and (4) promote access for all students to a quality education.

Activities authorized under Section 5131 of the *ESEA* that are included in the four strategic priorities are 1-5, 7-9, 12, 14-17, 19-20, 22, and 25-27. Authorized activities that are not included in the four strategic priorities are 6, 10-11, 13, 18, 21, and 23-24.

	$ Amount	%
Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs for the four strategic priorities	1,417,976	95.5
Total Title V, Part A funds expended by LEAs	1,484,769	
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.8.4 LEA Uses of Funds for the Four Strategic Priorities and AYP

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs:

1. That <u>used</u> **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities above and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of adequate yearly progress (AYP).
2. That did <u>not</u> use **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.
3. For which you **do not know** whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities and the number of these LEAs that met their State's definition of AYP.

The total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds will be automatically calculated.

	# LEAs	# LEAs Met AYP
Used **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	22	6
Did <u>not use</u> **at least 85 percent** of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	2	1
Not known whether they used at least 85 percent of their Title V, Part A funds for the four strategic priorities	0	0
Total LEAs receiving Title V, Part A funds	24	7
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.9 RURAL EDUCATION ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM (REAP) (TITLE VI, PART B, SUBPARTS 1 AND 2)

This section collects data on the Rural Education Achievement Program (REAP) Title VI, Part B, Subparts 1 and 2.

2.9.1 LEA Use of Alternative Funding Authority Under the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) Program (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 1)

In the table below, provide the number of LEAs that notified the State of their intent to use the alternative uses funding authority under Section 6211.

	# LEAs
# LEA's using SRSA alternative uses of funding authority	
Comments: Maryland has no LEAs eligible for the Small Rural School Achievement Program under Title VI. Maryland does not meet the criteria for this program.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.9.2 LEA Use of Rural Low-Income Schools Program (RLIS) (Title VI, Part B, Subpart 2) Grant Funds

In the table below, provide the number of eligible LEAs that used RLIS funds for each of the listed purposes.

Purpose	# LEAs
Teacher recruitment and retention, including the use of signing bonuses and other financial incentives	
Teacher professional development, including programs that train teachers to utilize technology to improve teaching and to train special needs teachers	
Educational technology, including software and hardware as described in Title II, Part D	
Parental involvement activities	
Activities authorized under the Safe and Drug-Free Schools Program (Title IV, Part A)	
Activities authorized under Title I, Part A	
Activities authorized under Title III (Language instruction for LEP and immigrant students)	
Comments: Maryland has no LEAs eligible for the Small Rural School Achievement Program under Title VI. Maryland does not meet the criteria for this program.	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.9.2.1 Goals and Objectives

In the space below, describe the progress the State has made in meeting the goals and objectives for the Rural Low-Income Schools (RLIS) Program as described in its June 2002 Consolidated State application. Provide quantitative data where available.

The response is limited to 8,000 characters.

Maryland has no LEAs eligible for the Small Rural School Achievement Program under Title VI. Maryland does not meet the criteria for this program.

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.10 FUNDING TRANSFERABILITY FOR STATE AND LOCAL EDUCATIONAL AGENCIES (TITLE VI, PART A, SUBPART 2)

2.10.1 State Transferability of Funds

Did the State transfer funds under the State Transferability authority of Section 6123(a) during SY 2007-08?	__No__
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.10.2 Local Educational Agency (LEA) Transferability of Funds

	#
LEAs that notified the State that they were transferring funds under the LEA Transferability authority of Section 6123(b).	0
Comments:	

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

2.10.2.1 LEA Funds Transfers

In the tables below, provide the total number of LEAs that transferred funds from and to each eligible program and the total amount of funds transferred from and to each eligible program.

Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds FROM Eligible Program	# LEAs Transferring Funds TO Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	0	0
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	0	0
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	0	0
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	0	0
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		0

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred FROM Eligible Program	Total Amount of Funds Transferred TO Eligible Program
Improving Teacher Quality State Grants (Section 2121)	0.00	0.00
Educational Technology State Grants (Section 2412(a)(2)(A))	0.00	0.00
Safe and Drug-Free Schools and Communities (Section 4112(b)(1))	0.00	0.00
State Grants for Innovative Programs (Section 5112(a))	0.00	0.00
Title I, Part A, Improving Basic Programs Operated by LEAs		0.00
Comments:		

Source – Manual entry by SEA into the online collection tool.

The Department plans to obtain information on the use of funds under both the State and LEA Transferability Authority through evaluation studies.